

Blockchain & Cryptocurrency Regulation 2027

Ninth Edition

Contributing Editor:
Josias N. Dewey
Holland & Knight LLP





TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface

Josias N. Dewey
Holland & Knight LLP

Glossary

The Contributing Editor shares key concepts and definitions of blockchain

Industry Viewpoint

1 **Crypto comes of age: the making of a digital financial system**
Ron Quaranta
Wall Street Blockchain Alliance

Expert Analysis Chapters

8 **Blockchain and intellectual property: a case study**
Ieuan G. Mahony, Brian J. Colandreo & Jacob Schneider
Holland & Knight LLP

24 **Cryptocurrency and other digital asset funds for U.S. investors**
Gregory S. Rowland & Trevor Kiviat
Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP

40 **Addressing the data proliferation problem by rebuilding digital and legal frameworks**
Tom Momberg & Angela Angelovska-Wilson
DLx Law PLLC

76 **The Future's So Bright, I Gotta Wear Shades: the GENIUS Act and the future of stablecoins in America**
Richard B. Levin, Bobby Wenner, Jorge Castiblanco & Cristofer Kelman
Taft Stettinius & Hollister LLP

96 **Trends in the derivatives market and how recent fintech developments are reshaping this space**
Jonathan Gilmour, Tom Purkiss & Jamie Errington
Travers Smith LLP

106 **Blockchain taxation in the United States**
David L. Forst, Sean P. McElroy & Matthew L. Dimon
Fenwick & West LLP

117 **OFAC sanctions and digital assets: regulation, compliance, and recent developments**
Evan T. Abrams, Andrew C. Adams & Scott R. Johnston
Steptoe LLP

135 **Beyond the perimeter: non-qualifying payment stablecoins under U.S. and EU law**
Gregory Strong, Sarah Weiyang Chen & Jonathan Galea
Cahill Gordon & Reindel LLP

Jurisdiction Chapters

147 **Australia**
Peter Reeves & Emily Shen
Gilbert + Tobin

162 **Austria**
Dr. Oliver Völkel & Jara Erhard
CERHA HEMPEL

168 **Bermuda**
Steven Rees Davies, Charissa Ball & Matthew Perriment
Carey Olsen

181 **Brazil**
Vanêssa Fialdini, Mariana de Azevedo Mendonça & Jorge Augusto de Holanda Souza
Fialdini Advogados

189 **British Virgin Islands**
Patrick Ormond & Katrina Lindsay
Carey Olsen

197 **Canada**
Alix d'Anglejan-Chatillon, Ramandeep K. Grewal, Éric Lévesque & Antonin Lapointe
Stikeman Elliott LLP

212 **Cayman Islands**
Chris Duncan & Richard Munden
Carey Olsen

221 **Germany**
Finn Niklas Nitz & André Schenk
SBS Legal Rechtsanwälte

231 **Greece**
Dr Anastasia Mallerou
Bernitsas

241 **India**
Reddy Pawan Kumar & Athif Ahmed
Hash Legal

253 **Japan**
Takeshi Nagase, Takato Fukui, Keisuke Hatano & Huan Lee (Henry) Tan
Anderson Mori & Tomotsune

263 **Kenya**
Keega Gakuua Gachutha, Alphonce Odido & Faith Njeri Njaggah
Keega & Company Advocates LLP

276 **Luxembourg**
Harry Lars Ghillemyn, Tristan Husson, Loïck Kabongo & John Sunday
Woud Law

288 **Netherlands**
Wouter Dammers & Joe Jay de Haas
LAWFOX Advocaten

298 **Norway**
Gjert Melsom, Andreas Bjørnebye & Axel Naustdal Cooper
Ernst & Young Advokatfirma AS

309 **Poland**
Robert Brodzik, Jarosław Fejdasz, Jan Janukowicz & Zuzanna Kielak
Kochański & Partners

321 **Romania**
Alexandru Stănescu, Simina Negulescu, Anca Popan & Miruna Rista
Lexters

332 **Singapore**
Kenneth Pereire & Lin YingXin
KGP Legal LLC

342 **Slovakia**
Peter Varga, Roman Baranec & Vladimir Gaduš
Highgate Law & Tax s. r. o.

351 **Spain**
Alfonso López-Ibor Aliño, Olivia López-Ibor Jaume & Victoria Moreno Motilva
López-Ibor Abogados, S.L.P.

362 **Switzerland**
Daniel Haeberli, Stefan Oesterhelt & Alexander Wherlock
Homburger

378 **Taiwan**
Robin Chang, Dennis Yu & Eddie Hsiung
Lee and Li, Attorneys-at-Law

385 **UAE**
Irina Heaver & Zainab Kamran
NeosLegal

396 **Ukraine**
Peter Bilyk
Juscutum

408 **United Kingdom**
Charles Kerrigan, Erica Stanford & Katherine Murray
CMS LLP

422 **USA**
Josias N. Dewey & Samir Patel
Holland & Knight LLP

Slovakia

Peter Varga
Roman Baranec
Vladimir Gaduš

Highgate Law & Tax s. r. o.

Government attitude and definition

Slovak legislation uses the term "crypto-asset" as defined under Article 3 (1) (5) of the MiCA Regulation.[1] Unlike in the past, where the regulation of crypto-assets was not harmonised in the EU, currently there is generally no specific national legal definition of crypto-assets apart from that set out by EU legislation. This, on the one hand, streamlines legal consistency, but on the other hand undermines the need for specialisation where required.

As for the legal nature or classification of crypto-assets, the legal system of the Slovak Republic does not define crypto-assets as money in the true sense of the word, except for electronic money tokens, which are considered electronic money under the MiCA Regulation. Neither are crypto-assets explicitly regulated as "things" in the Civil Code.[2] The most common opinion of legal experts is that crypto-assets qualify as "other property value" (in Slovak, *iné majetkové hodnoty*).[3] By "other property value", we understand this to mean objects that are neither a thing nor a right, but that nevertheless have a certain property value. These objects must also be distinguished from the rights that relate to them. Such immaterial objects include, for example, a co-ownership share or a business share, and also intangible assets such as a trade secret, an invention, know-how, and many other subjects of intellectual property.[4]

In the Slovak Republic, there have been long-standing discussions about recodifying private law and adopting a new Civil Code. Under the current legislative proposal, which is intended to take effect on 1 July 2027 (although this date may change), crypto-assets such as BTC and ETH would generally continue to qualify as other property values.

No crypto-asset is currently supported or issued by the Slovak government, nor by the National Bank of Slovakia ("**NBS**") as a supervisory (competent) authority in the area of crypto-assets. We are also not aware of any such intention.

Cryptocurrency regulation

Crypto-assets are primarily regulated by the MiCA Regulation. Slovakia has also adopted a separate legal act on crypto-assets;[5] however, it only regulates certain aspects of crypto-assets in line with the MiCA Regulation. For example, the law states that NBS acts as a competent authority in the Slovak Republic,

sets up basic rules for reporting obligations of the issuers of asset-referenced tokens and crypto-asset service providers to NBS, and specifies rules for certain penalties that NBS can impose when exercising its supervisory authority in the area of crypto-assets. This law was amended in the first half of 2026, introducing the obligation to submit the applicant's cybersecurity audit for the authorisation to provide crypto-asset services. While Delegated Regulation (EU) No. 2025/305[6] only lists this requirement as optional, it is an obligation in licensing proceedings in Slovakia.

Furthermore, NBS also issued an individual measure on the submission of reports by crypto-asset service providers.

Key aspects of the MiCA Regulation are:

- legal classification of crypto-assets, creation of harmonised rules for the provision of crypto-asset services, including crypto-asset exchanges, and regulation of token issuances;
- enhancing market transparency through disclosure requirements;
- introduction of a licensing regime and standards for crypto-asset service providers and issuers of asset-referenced tokens; and
- supervision of activities that could threaten market stability and credibility.

Apart from the MiCA Regulation, crypto-assets are regulated by other legal acts on an EU level directly or indirectly applicable in Slovakia, such as the DLT Regulation,[7] the TFR,[8] DAC8[9] and the related delegated and implementing legal acts.

In the area of provision of crypto-asset services, Slovakia opted for a shorter grandfathering period as permitted under Article 143 of the MiCA Regulation. The grandfathering period for virtual asset service providers ended on 30 December 2025. From this date, only crypto-asset service providers licensed under the MiCA Regulation and financial entities notified under the MiCA Regulation may provide crypto-asset services in Slovakia.

As of the date of the preparation of this chapter,[10] six authorisations to provide crypto-asset services have been issued by NBS. As a law firm, we successfully represented three of those successful applicants and provided partial legal advice to one of the three remaining applicants. We currently represent various clients that are planning to apply for authorisation to provide crypto-asset services, including one financial institution that is planning to submit a notification to provide crypto-asset services to NBS under Article 60 of the MiCA Regulation.

Sales regulation

There is no specific Slovak legal act on the sales regulation of crypto-assets.

Generally, the sale of crypto-assets that are regulated under the MiCA Regulation ("standard" tokens like Bitcoin or Ethereum, but also stablecoins, i.e., asset-referenced tokens or electronic money tokens) may be considered a crypto-asset service of "exchange of crypto-assets for funds" or "exchange of crypto-assets for other crypto-assets" if it is carried out as a business activity. In such a case, the crypto-asset service provider is directly entering into a transfer contract on sale or purchasing crypto-assets with clients using its own capital. Crypto-asset service providers may also arrange for the sale of crypto-assets to their clients by providing them a service of "execution of orders for crypto-assets on behalf of clients" or "reception and transmission of orders for crypto-assets on behalf of clients". In such a case, the counterparty to the client is not the crypto-asset service provider itself but the execution venue (usually another crypto-asset service provider/trading platform for crypto-assets) to which the client's order is finally transferred.

Where two persons enter into a sales and purchase agreement in relation to crypto-assets under the MiCA Regulation (not carrying out any business activity), no specific financial regulation applies, and such contract falls under the same regime as other sales and purchase agreements for "other property value".

However, if crypto-assets qualify as financial instruments (e.g., crypto bonds), the transfer of such crypto-assets is governed by the rules for the transfer of financial instruments. So, in this case, it does not make any difference whether the financial instrument has a "standard" form or that it was created, for example, on a blockchain.

Lastly, there is no specific Slovak regulation for the sale of crypto-assets that are neither financial instruments nor fall under the scope of the MiCA Regulation (e.g., non-fungible tokens ("**NFTs**")).

There are no state-wide limitations on acquiring or selling crypto-assets in the Slovak Republic.

Taxation

Under the Slovak Income Tax Act,[11] income derived from the sale of crypto-assets is subject to income tax. The law defines a sale of a crypto-asset as an exchange of a crypto-asset for property, an exchange of a crypto-asset for another crypto-asset, an exchange of a crypto-asset for the provision of services, or a transfer of a crypto-asset for consideration (collectively, the "**Sale of a Crypto-asset**"). The resulting income is taxed depending on whether the taxpayer is a non-business individual, a self-employed individual, or a legal entity.

For non-business individuals, income from the Sale of a Crypto-asset is taxed under Section 8 (1) (t) of the Income Tax Act as "other income" at a progressive rate of 19% to 35%. The taxable base is calculated as the income reduced by demonstrably incurred expenses related to the acquisition of the crypto-asset. However, if expenses exceed the income, the loss cannot be recognised (i.e., non-business individuals cannot report a tax loss from the Sale of a Crypto-asset). The most common deductible expense is the acquisition cost (or other consideration paid) for obtaining the crypto-asset.

If an individual carries out the Sale of a Crypto-asset as part of its business activity (e.g., recurrent trading), such income is taxed as business income under Section 6 of the Income Tax Act. In this case, a tax rate of 15% applies if the taxable income does not exceed EUR 100,000, otherwise a progressive rate of 19% to 35% applies.

For legal entities, income from the Sale of a Crypto-asset is taxed at the following progressive rates: 10% if the total taxable income does not exceed EUR 100,000; 21% for income from EUR 100,000 up to EUR 5 million; and 24% for income exceeding EUR 5 million.

There are some tax incentives available for those operating in crypto. Staking and mining give rise to taxation only upon disposing of the newly created crypto-asset. There are also strong incentives for research and development activities.

From a VAT perspective, the pure purchase and sale of crypto-assets are classified as being outside of the scope of VAT. Furthermore, in line with the judgment of the Court of Justice of the EU in *Skatteverket v. Hedqvist* (Case C-264/14), supplies relating to the purchase and sale of crypto-assets fall under the exemption from VAT. Accordingly, transactions involving the exchange of crypto-assets for legal tender (or *vice versa*) are exempt from VAT under Slovak law. However, a different VAT regime applies to crypto-assets that represent a tokenised asset or evidence of entitlement to a supply.

Money transmission laws and anti-money laundering requirements

The Slovak AML Act[12] is based on the transposition of EU AML Directives. The key obligation of an applicant for a licence to provide crypto-asset services arising from the AML Act is to prepare an internal AML programme that contains all mandatory elements stipulated by the AML Act (the "**AML Programme**"). The applicant will not succeed in the licensing process if the AML Programme lacks any mandatory elements or if it is not properly tailored to the specific services and activities the applicant intends to carry out. NBS pays close attention to ensuring that applicants' AML procedures take into account their specific

business model and the specific aspects of crypto-assets, especially with respect to the identification of unusual business transactions, the assessment of AML risks, and the implementation of measures to eliminate or mitigate those risks.

Applicants are required, for example, to identify and specify when and in what activities an increased incidence of unusual or suspicious transactions can be expected and in what form these unusual or suspicious transactions are carried out. As of 1 June 2026, crypto-asset service providers licensed by NBS are also required to register in the goAML information system, which is used to report unusual transactions electronically to the Financial Intelligence Unit. Crypto-asset service providers that were already obliged entities on 1 June 2026 must register by 30 November 2026. Newly licensed crypto-asset service providers that become obliged entities after 1 June 2026 must register within 30 days of acquiring that status. Where a transaction is identified as unusual and is therefore subject to reporting, the relevant report must be submitted electronically through the goAML system. Applicants must also assess the adequacy and proportionality of internal control mechanisms for policies and processes in relation to the scope, nature, and inherent risk of AML risks in relation to the specific intended crypto-asset services and the applicant's business model. In addition, the AML Programme must set out mandatory staff training, including the content and schedule of such training, the methods of monitoring compliance with the AML Programme, the approach to risk assessment and risk management, as well as the methods and procedures for the performance of customer due diligence. A robust internal regulation is expected to be developed that must contain such information.

Each applicant must appoint a person responsible for AML. Under the AML Act, the responsible person must be either a statutory body, a member of the statutory body, or a managerial employee of the applicant who has direct access to information about the applicant's activities and has sufficient authority to mitigate identified risks. It is important that the person responsible for AML demonstrates sufficient knowledge and experience in this area during the licensing process. NBS is strict when assessing candidates for this position and will not accept a candidate who does not demonstrate such knowledge and experience.

The above obligations of course apply continuously to every crypto-asset service provider upon obtaining the respective licence from NBS.

Every crypto-asset service provider must also comply with the TFR. Commonly known as the "Travel Rule", this framework obliges crypto-asset service providers to collect and transmit details about both the originator and the beneficiary with each transfer and ensure that incomplete data is properly addressed.

Promotion and testing

NBS opened a "Regulatory Sandbox" on 1 January 2022. The purpose of the Regulatory Sandbox is to allow financial market participants to align financial innovations with regulatory requirements through consultations with NBS and practically test them in the Slovak financial market.[13]

The Regulatory Sandbox is not specifically focused on crypto-assets, but rather on the entire financial market. An applicant for authorisation is an eligible participant, which means that any company that wants to apply for crypto-asset services or, for example, for authorisation to issue asset-referenced tokens, and providing its business model is innovative, may test it within this Regulatory Sandbox administered by NBS.

We are not aware of any other sandbox or similar programme operating in Slovakia intended to promote research and investment into crypto-assets at this time.

Ownership and licensing requirements

To provide crypto-asset services in Slovakia, it is necessary to have a licence under the MiCA Regulation

granted by the respective supervisory authority in the EU[14] or, in case of certain financial institutions, to notify the respective supervisory authority of the provision of crypto-asset services of their home EU Member State. As the provision of crypto-asset services is fully harmonised in the EU, a licence granted to any Member State may be easily passported to other Member States (including Slovakia).

Crypto-asset services are defined under the MiCA Regulation and encompass the following:

- providing custody and administration of crypto-assets on behalf of clients;
- operating a trading platform for crypto-assets;
- exchanging crypto-assets for funds;
- exchanging crypto-assets for other crypto-assets;
- executing orders for crypto-assets on behalf of clients;
- placing of crypto-assets;
- reception and transmission of orders for crypto-assets on behalf of clients;
- providing advice on crypto-assets;
- providing portfolio management of crypto-assets; and
- providing transfer services for crypto-assets on behalf of clients.

Applicants may apply for all crypto-asset services or only a selected scope of them, depending on their business model. The situation is different for financial institutions, which may only notify the crypto-asset services under the MiCA Regulation that are equivalent to the financial service for which they are already licensed. For example, in the case of an investment firm, providing portfolio management of crypto-assets is deemed equivalent to portfolio management of financial instruments, and the exchange of crypto-assets for funds and other crypto-assets is deemed equivalent to dealing on own account with financial instruments. The same principle applies to other financial institutions, including credit institutions (banks), fund management companies and electronic money institutions.

As mentioned above, we successfully represented three out of the six currently licensed crypto-asset service providers in the licensing proceedings before NBS. We guide applicants from the outset, including during the pre-licensing phase, which we consider to be just as important as the licensing proceeding itself. During this phase, the applicant should determine which crypto-asset services are necessary for its business model, define its organisational structure and prepare from a technical perspective, including its ICT infrastructure, testing and contracts with external ICT providers.

In Slovakia, it is possible to attend a pre-licensing meeting with NBS before submitting the application. At this meeting, the prospective applicant has an opportunity to present its business model, including its shareholding and personnel structure, explain how it intends to provide crypto-asset services, outline how it will comply with its AML/KYC obligations and describe the ICT infrastructure it intends to use. As a law firm, we have attended several of these pre-licensing meetings and strongly advise our clients to do the same, as they provide an opportunity to discuss any potential issues with NBS before submitting the application.

The pre-licensing phase usually lasts up to three months. However, we recommend investing sufficient time in this phase, particularly in preparing the application and its annexes, as the quality of the application can make the licensing proceeding itself smoother.

Applicants for the authorisation to provide crypto-asset services are subject to strict licensing requirements, including prudential requirements that, in general, vary from EUR 50,000 to EUR 150,000 of minimum own funds depending on the class of crypto-asset services. Furthermore, applicants must prove the fit and proper requirements of their governing body (directors) and must also employ other personnel with the necessary knowledge, experience and skills to carry out their duties. These personnel include

persons responsible for internal control (compliance, risk management, internal audit), persons responsible for the AML/KYC agenda, persons responsible for the provision of crypto-asset services, and persons responsible for the provision of ICT services. The whole organisational structure of course depends on the complexity of operations of each individual applicant and is assessed on a case-by-case basis by the competent authorities. NBS requires every applicant to have at least the personnel that will cover the functions listed in this paragraph (considering that some functions may be cumulated and performed by one employee). Furthermore, applicants must prove that their shareholders are of sufficiently good repute and that the origin of their registered capital is provable from an AML/KYC perspective, and they must also prepare the full set of internal regulations to be compliant with the MiCA Regulation, the DORA Regulation[15] and other applicable legal acts.

A company applying for authorisation to provide crypto-asset services in Slovakia must also comply with the substance criteria. These criteria were set out by NBS and include, *inter alia*, the requirement that the applicant have office premises in Slovakia that will be available for on-site supervision by NBS once the company is authorised as a crypto-asset service provider. Furthermore, the applicant must demonstrate that the decision-making and internal control of the crypto-asset service provider are carried out in Slovakia. Compliance with the substance criteria is an integral part of our legal advice during the pre-licensing process, and we guide our foreign clients in setting up the application so that it complies with those criteria from the outset, i.e., at the time the application is submitted.

A licensing proceeding before NBS for authorisation to provide crypto-asset services usually lasts at least five months, although the shortest licensing proceeding we were part of was shorter, lasting four and a half months from submission of the application to issuance of the final decision. The longest proceeding (and the first in Slovakia) lasted 11 months, as the final texts of the delegated and implementing regulations were not yet available and it was also necessary to define and establish the standards expected by NBS.

Licensing proceedings before NBS are governed by the MiCA Regulation and therefore generally follow the same pattern as in other EU Member States. Within 25 working days of receiving the application, NBS assesses whether it is complete and, if necessary, requests the applicant to remedy any deficiencies, including by submitting additional documents and information. In practice, NBS may repeat such requests until the application is considered complete. Thereafter, the process usually only involves fine-tuning the applicant's internal regulations. Then, provided no unexpected issues arise, NBS issues the licence.

The applicant must demonstrate during the licensing proceedings that it is ready to provide crypto-asset services from the first day on which the licence takes effect. Therefore, all requirements relating to personnel, ICT systems and compliance with prudential safeguards must already be met during the licensing proceedings; otherwise, NBS will not grant the licence.

In addition to seeking authorisation to provide crypto-asset services in Slovakia, it is also possible to apply for authorisation as an issuer of asset-referenced tokens. No such authorisation has yet been granted by NBS.

As for fund management, the possibility of investment funds investing in crypto-assets is determined by the regulatory type of such fund and the limitations of its investment policy. In general, retail investment funds in Slovakia cannot invest in crypto-assets like Bitcoin or Ethereum. This is possible for investment funds that are marketed to qualified or professional investors only; however, in our experience, it is still not very common in the Slovak Republic. There are small investment funds that cannot be offered to the public with no statutory limitations for their investment policy (thus not imposing any limitations on investment in crypto-assets), but their share in the market is negligible.

Mining

Mining of crypto-assets is not specifically regulated by Slovak legislation. Crypto-asset mining does

not in itself constitute the provision of crypto-asset services, investment services, payment services, financial intermediation or any other similar activity that would require a licence or registration from NBS. Furthermore, mining is also outside the scope of the MiCA Regulation.[16] Thus, the mining of crypto-assets is currently an unregulated activity in the Slovak Republic and is therefore permitted.

For tax purposes, mining is subject to a specific regime under Slovak law. Newly mined crypto-assets do not trigger taxation at the moment of creation; instead, the tax liability arises only upon their subsequent disposal (i.e., when the mined crypto-assets are sold, exchanged or otherwise transferred for consideration).

Border restrictions and declaration

Overall, the applicable legal framework (the MiCA Regulation, the TFR, the DLT Regulation) is harmonised on an EU level. The Slovak legal system introduces almost no additional legal acts, rules or obligations on the regulatory treatment of crypto-assets. Of course, in the areas that are not harmonised (like income tax), the legal regime is unique to each Member State.

If the crypto-asset service provider obtains a licence in Slovakia, it may provide its services in the whole EU market. The same applies for any financial institution that provides crypto-asset services based on notification to its competent authority.

Reporting requirements

Crypto-asset service providers are supervised by NBS and have regular reporting obligations to NBS. NBS issued an individual measure on the submission of reports by crypto-asset service providers that includes templates for various accounting reports, a report on wallet addresses, a report on complaints, a report on AML/KYC, etc.

Accounting reporting is aligned with Slovak accounting standards and is generally easy to comply with. It is generally not possible for International Financial Reporting Standards to be used by licensed crypto-asset service providers.

Estate planning and testamentary succession

As explained above, under Slovak law, crypto-assets are considered "other property value", which can be inherited. They have monetary value and are part of the deceased's estate. In the event of the death of a crypto-asset owner, such crypto-assets will be included in the inventory of assets within the inheritance proceedings. The notary, as the judicial commissioner, will value them at their usual price on the date of the death of their previous owner, usually based on the market value of the crypto-assets.

Crypto-assets will be distributed among the heirs according to the outcome of the inheritance proceedings. There is no specific regime for crypto-assets, so they are inherited under the same rules as other assets. If the deceased person did not issue a will during his/her life (which is permitted under Slovak law), the heirs will be determined by law, and in general are the children and spouse of the deceased.

For a testamentary disposition to be effectively carried out, it is crucial that the heir has the necessary means to access the crypto-assets, in particular private keys, seed phrases, and wallet login details. Without this information, the heir may be unable to take possession of the crypto-assets, even if they are legally inherited.

If the crypto-assets are held in custody by a crypto-asset service provider, the notary will typically contact the crypto-asset service provider in the course of the inheritance proceedings to identify the deceased's crypto-asset portfolio. The portfolio is then included in the final decision issued in the inheritance proceedings, which also determines the respective rights of the heirs to the crypto-assets.

Endnotes

1 Regulation (EU) No. 2023/1114 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 May 2023 on markets in crypto-assets, and amending Regulations (EU) No. 1093/2010 and (EU) No. 1095/2010 and Directives No. 2013/36/EU and (EU) No. 2019/1937 (the "**MiCA Regulation**").

2 Act No. 40/1964 Coll. the Civil Code, as amended (the "**Civil Code**").

3 Please see, for example, https://karolinum.cz/data/clanek/10828/Iurid_68_4_0099.pdf or https://www.nbs.sk/_img/documents/_publik_nbs_fsr/biatec/rok2019/04-2019/01_04aug_beno.pdf

4 Števček, M., Dulak, A., Bajánková, J., Fečík, M., Sedlačko, F., Tomašovič, M. *et al.*, *Civil Code I, Sections 1–450, Commentary,* 2nd edition, Prague: C. H. Beck, 2019, p. 1754.

5 Act No. 248/2024 Z. z. of 11 September 2024 on certain obligations and powers in the field of crypto-assets, and on amending certain laws, as amended.

6 Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) No. 2025/305 of 31 October 2024 supplementing Regulation (EU) No. 2023/1114 of the European Parliament and of the Council with regard to regulatory technical standards specifying the information to be included in an application for authorisation as a crypto-asset service provider.

7 Regulation (EU) No. 2022/858 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2022 on a pilot regime for market infrastructures based on distributed ledger technology, and amending Regulations (EU) No. 600/2014 and (EU) No. 909/2014 and Directive No. 2014/65/EU (the "**DLT Regulation**").

8 Regulation (EU) No. 2023/1113 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 May 2023 on information accompanying transfers of funds and certain crypto-assets, and amending Directive (EU) No. 2015/849 (the "**TFR**").

9 Council Directive (EU) No. 2023/2226 of 17 October 2023 amending Directive No. 2011/16/EU on administrative cooperation in the field of taxation ("**DAC8**").

10 4 September 2026.

11 Act No. 595/2003 Coll. on Income Tax, as amended.

12 Act No. 297/2008 Coll. on protection against money laundering and terrorist financing and on the amendment to certain acts, as amended (the "**AML Act**").

13 More detailed information on the Regulatory Sandbox can be found on the NBS webpage here: https://nbs.sk/en/financial-market-supervision1/fintech/regulatory-sandbox

14 Although we used the term "EU" for simplification, the harmonisation of crypto-asset legislation also covers EEA Member States, thus including Iceland, Liechtenstein and Norway (which are not EU Member States).

15 Regulation (EU) No. 2022/2554 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 December 2022 on digital operational resilience for the financial sector, and amending Regulations (EC) No. 1060/2009, (EU) No. 648/2012, (EU) No. 600/2014, (EU) No. 909/2014 and (EU) No. 2016/1011 (the "**DORA Regulation**").

16 Please see, for example, Recital 93 of the MiCA Regulation.



Peter Varga

Tel: +421 918 953 897 / Email: peter.varga@highgate.sk

Peter Varga is co-founder and Partner at Highgate Law & Tax and CEO and founder of Highgate Accounting, a tax and accounting firm, uniquely combining legal, tax, accounting and regulatory expertise under one roof. He is particularly recognised in Slovakia for providing in-depth legal, tax and regulatory advice on complex and innovative structures across fintech, capital markets, investment funds, crypto-asset platforms, SMEs and venture capital companies.

After graduating in law, Peter worked for several years at the leading international law firm Dentons as a trainee solicitor and later as an attorney-at-law. He spent some of that time in its Warsaw office, where he worked on international tax law and structuring. His tenure at one of the best law firms in the world gave him insight into the largest transactions in Slovakia, extensive knowledge and know-how and the opportunity to work with the best attorneys on major financial and tax transactions.



Roman Baranec

Tel: +421 918 022 198 / Email: roman.baranec@highgate.sk

Roman Baranec is a Senior Associate at Highgate Law & Tax. He has been providing legal advice since 2012 and focuses his practice mainly on financial regulation and capital markets. He assists clients in selecting and setting up the appropriate regulatory structure for their business models, in the creation and operation of investment funds, in bond financing, as well as in the areas of crypto-asset regulation, crowdfunding and payment services. Within the framework of the above activities, he represents clients in authorisation, registration and sanction proceedings before the National Bank of Slovakia.

Before joining Highgate in 2021, Roman spent six years at the international property development group HB Reavis, providing legal advice in M&A, capital markets and investment structuring, including bond listings in Bratislava and Prague as well as regulatory support in fund-related transactions.



Vladimir Gaduš

Tel: +421 949 210 042 / Email: vladimir.gadus@highgate.sk

Vladimir Gaduš is a Senior Associate at Highgate Law & Tax, specialising in the fields of software law, information technology, AML regulation and digital compliance. Within his AML practice, Vladimir guides applicants through licensing proceedings before the National Bank of Slovakia and also advises clients on day-to-day AML compliance issues. He supports businesses in building robust internal AML processes and preparing mandatory documentation that meets both Slovak and EU regulatory requirements.

Before joining Highgate in 2022, Vladimir gained professional experience at Slovak law firms Majerník & Mihálíková (2017–2020) and HKV Law Firm (2020–2022). During this time, he worked across corporate and regulatory matters, with a particular focus on compliance and the design of AML processes.

Highgate Law & Tax s. r. o.

Nivy Tower, Mlynske Nivy 5, Bratislava 821 09, Slovakia
Tel: +421 918 953 897 / URL: www.highgate.sk

Global Legal Insights – Blockchain & Cryptocurrency Regulation provides in-depth analysis, insight and intelligence across nine expert analysis chapters and 26 jurisdictions, covering:

- Government attitude and definition
- Cryptocurrency regulation
- Sales regulation
- Taxation
- Money transmission laws and anti-money laundering requirements
- Promotion and testing
- Ownership and licensing requirements
- Mining
- Border restrictions and declaration
- Reporting requirements
- Estate planning and testamentary succession

globallegalinsights.com